UNTIED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	v	
ROBERTA LUDWIG		VERIFIED ANSWER
-against-	Plaintiff,	Case #2:19-CV-03870
MARIANNE TASKER		Jury Trial Demanded
	Defendant.	

Defendant, MARIANNE TASKER, by her attorneys, BRUNO, GERBINO & SORIANO, LLP, states upon information and belief as and for her answer to the plaintiff's complaint:

FIRST: Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "9", "11", "12", "13", "14", "15", "16", "17", "18", "20", '21", and "22" of the plaintiff's complaint.

SECOND: Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1", "3", "7", "8", and "10" of the plaintiff's complaint.

THIRD: Defendant denies having knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "4" and "19" of the plaintiff's complaint, and refers all questions of law and fact to this Honorable Court and the triers of fact at the trial of this action.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

FOURTH: If the plaintiff has been injured and damaged as alleged in plaintiff's complaint, upon information and belief, such injuries and damages were caused, in whole or in part, or were contributed to by reason of the carelessness, negligence or want of care on the part of the plaintiff and not by any carelessness, negligence or want of care, on the part of the defendant, and if any carelessness, negligence or want of care other than that of the plaintiff were caused or contributed to said alleged injuries and damages, it was the carelessness, negligence or want of care on the part of

some other party or persons, firm or corporation, his, its or their agents, servants or employees over

whom defendant has no control and for whose, carelessness, negligence or want of care defendant is

not and was not responsible or liable.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

FIFTH: That whatever injuries and/or damages were sustained by the plaintiff at the

time and place alleged in the complaint were in whole or in part the result of the plaintiff's own

culpable conduct.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

SIXTH: Upon information and belief, any part or future costs and/or expenses incurred

or to be incurred by the plaintiff for medical care, dental care, custodial care or rehabilitation services,

loss of earnings or other economic loss, has been or will with reasonable certainty be replaced or

indemnified in whole or in part from a collateral source as defined in Section 4545(c) of the New York

Civil Practice Law and Rules.

EIGHTH: If any damages are recoverable against the said answering defendant, the

amount of such damages shall be diminished by the amount of the funds which plaintiff has or shall

receive from such collateral source.

WHEREFORE, defendant demands judgment dismissing the plaintiff's complaint

herein, together with the costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: Melville, New York

August 21, 2019

TO:

BALSAM LAW FIRM, PLLC. Attorneys for Plaintiff 315 Madison Avenue, Ste. 1306 New York, NY 10017 (212) 286-8899 Yours, etc.,

BRUNO, GEREINO & SORIANO, LLP.

By:

NCENT F GERBINO VG0555

Attorneys for Defendant(s) 445 Broad Hollow Road, Ste. 420 Melville, NY 11747-3601 (631) 390-0010 Our File #HO180-3020

Index No.: 2:19-CV-03870 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEWYORK **ROBERTA LUDWIG** Plaintiff(s), -against-MARIANNE TASKER Defendant(s) VERIFIED ANSWER & DISCOVERY DEMANDS BRUNO, GERBINO & SORIANO, LLP Attorney(s) for Defendant(s) 445 Broad Hollow Road - Suite 220 Melville, New York 11747 (631) 390-0010 70 Hilltop Road Ramsey, New Jersey 07446 (201) 995-1394 BGS@BGSLAW-NY.COM File No.: HO180-3020 Pursuant to 22 NYCRR 130-1.1-a, the undersigned, an attorney admitted to practice in the courts of New York State, certifies that, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, formed after an inquiry reasonable under the circumstances, the presentation of this document, or the contentions contained therein, are not frivolous. Dated: __August 21, 2019 Signature Print Signer's Name Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for Sir: Please take notice NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of a duly entered in the office of the Clerk of the within named Court on NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for one of the Judges of the within named Court, at settlement to the HON. on the day of at m.

Case 2:19-cv-03870-RRM-RLM Document 5 Filed 08/23/19 Page 4 of 4 PageID #: 21